

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/710,686	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BARAK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ana M. Fortuna	<b>Art Unit</b> 1723	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ana M. Fortuna.

(2) Sonali S. Srivastava.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 rejections.*

Claims discussed:

*all.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the attached Examiner's amendment was proposed to Srivastava, all the claims were discussed for completeness and clarity, all the changes were agreed by the Attorney.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)